

# FG2 PRISM Seminar - Budapest

## Feedback Form Results

Question	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Location and facilities?		6	7		
Relevance to your business?		6	5	5	
The quality of presentations and presentational material used?	1	6	10	3	
The relevance/usefulness of questions and discussions following the presentations?		3	7	7	
What did you think of the format of the day?	1	6	4	2	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>

Question	Too Short	Just Right	Too Long	No Response
Seminar Duration?	1	9		3
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

Have you attended the DNV Seminar before?	Yes	No	No Response
	5	8	
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>

## Opinions, Comments & Statements

Not enough interaction between consultants and individuals. End users and others provided contributions.

Too much freedom in the schedule.

Too much improvisation (called flexibility).

Too many questions and not enough good practice brought to the floor from consultancies and industry.

No interaction with the other Focus Groups within the PRISM network.

Some side items could have been better organised (e.g. transport).

Good job done by the local organisers.

Moderalism can be improved.

Any hidden agendas amongst the participants?

Really useful - good for networking.

Need to get to SME - too many delegates were already converted.

Nice example of the transfer of knowledge and practical experience about optimising human performance from end-user advisors.

Clearer definition of objectives might help to manage expectations of delegates. Very interesting discussions - thanks for all the effort!

I enjoyed the two days - interaction was excellent

Use examples carefully particularly in task design - it can lapse into anecdote.

Overall concept of special input followed by input from attendees and discussion was fine.

Too much flexibility on the timetable made it difficult to know what would happen next (i.e. no group discussion on Day 1).

Information was spread over several e-mails. Could have been more compact.

I found the subject matter and associated debate for the most part very relevant.

I was never clear on what the outputs / products should have been from the seminar. Clearer objectives and less flexibility in the programme would be better.

The presentations followed by discussion worked well. The presentations were successful for generating questions and debate.

Advice to presenters on visuals would be helpful - some could not be read. Could offer to format prior to the seminar.

Could the evening meal be arranged so that a short break (say 1 hour) could be taken between meeting closure and departure?