

### International Conference on Process Safety Performance Indicators Way forward for PPS Metrics for all stakeholders 31st January – 1st February 2012



Dr.-Ing. Peter G. Schmelzer, Bayer HealthCare AG Chairman CEFIC Issue Team Process and Plant Safety



- CCPS ACC EPSC CEFIC join forces to agree on an harmonized PPS PI single approach (PSI/LoPC)
  - Get an agreed standard, consider ISO (<<- API) (GHS-based, # of incident catagories (threshold cat., severity considered);
  - Provide tools for companies ...
- → Identify individuals to form a joint working group to develop this PSI/LoPC-standard ...
- → Agree on a timeline
- → On Cefic / EPSC side: working group representatives coordinate the European discussion



- Leading Indicators:
  - A company / a site should have a small set (hand full) of PPS indicators
  - Make practical recommendations (expert support):
    - leave plenty room / degree of freedom for the companies to define their most appropriate set of (leading) indicators,
    - how to set up reporting and drawing conclusions in the company (<< work force engagement)</li>
  - There is not, yet, a set of obviously more practical "leading" indicators, but different approaches which all seem to work
- → Cefic / EPSC (other institutions, companies) to discuss / consider how to support the implementation of leading indicators (i.e. more PPS PI conferences, work shops ... → mentoring, coaching offers etc.)



- Experience so far tells:
  - Engage (convince) senior management
  - Engage the "victims" / relevant practitioners on the "shop floor"
  - Create the culture of "no blame"
  - Focus on making clear that there is the obligation for each single company/operator
- SMEs (but probably not limited to them):
  - More important to have a practice based process for better understanding the hazards to derive their own needs and identify the controls -> mentoring/partnering
- → Cefic / EPSC (other institutions, companies) to discuss / consider how to support / reach out to companies to implement indicators (work shops, ... → mentoring, coaching offers etc.)



- Public reporting
  - The Dow story (since 1994 public reporting) tells:
    - It can be done whithout creating any significant negative impact.
    - It has benefits internal to the company / economic return
    - It is the ultimate in accountability
    - There is a majority opinion from the conference, that public reporting is ultimately necessary
    - It takes rather years to get there
    - A single globally accepted Process Safety Performance Indicator is considered helpful if not necessary
- → Federations i.p. CEFIC for Europe need to decide (w/ the members)
  - a) for public reporting (or not),
  - b) define a timeline when (on which level) to get there
  - c) support developing the single Process Safety PI